



2813

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Kamiya et al. /

Examiner: Y. Huynh

Serial No: 09/929,675 /

Group Art Unit: 2813

Filed: August 14, 2001 /

Docket: JP9-2000-0222 (8728-554)

For: **Method and Apparatus For Stacking Sheets And  
Method and Apparatus For Manufacturing Liquid Crystal Display Panel**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA. 22313

1-4 w/15  
9-15

RECEIVED  
JUL 28 2003  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

#6  
Election  
J. McMillan  
8/5/03

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

In response to the Office Action dated June 16, 2003, Applicants provisionally elects the claims of Group I (claims 1-4 and 9-15) with traverse.

As set forth in MPEP § 803, if the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, even though the application contains claims to independent and distinct inventions.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING 37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail, postage paid in an envelope addressed to : Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box. 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on July 18, 2003.

Dated: 7/18/03

Frank V. DeRosa

While the inventions of Groups I and II may be distinct for the reasons set forth by the Examiner, it is respectfully submitted that simultaneous examination of Groups I and II will not present a serious burden. Indeed, although the inventions of Groups I and II may be classified in class 438, subclass 30 and class 349, subclass 58, respectively, Examiner cannot reasonably contend that simultaneous examination in only two subclasses would be a burden, much less a serious burden. Furthermore, although the inventions of groups I and II may be distinct, they are related to the extent that possible patent references related to the claimed inventions would undoubtedly be commonly included in the both the above subclasses.

Therefore, for at least the above reasons, it is respectfully submitted that there would be no serious burden on Examiner to simultaneously examination claims of Groups I and II. Under such circumstances, it is respectfully requested that Examiner maintain all claims in the same application.

Respectfully submitted,



Frank V. DeRosa

Reg. No. 43,584

Attorney for Applicant

F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLP  
1900 Hempstead Turnpike, Suite 501  
East Meadow, New York 11554  
Tel: (516) 357-0091  
Fax: (516) 357-0092